# A STUDY OF THE DECAY RATE OF DEF AND FOLEX AS A FOLIAR SPRAY ON COTTON IN KERN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA SEPTEMBER 1975

Ву

Keith Maddy, Staff Toxicologist; Jerry Alexander, Agricultural Chemist;
Charles S. Kahn, Agricultural Inspector; Gary Sprock,
Agricultural Inspector

Agricultural Chemicals and Feed California Department of Food and Agriculture 1220 N Street Sacramento, California 95814

#### INTRODUCTION

DEF (S,S,S-Tributyl phosphorotrithioate) is registered for use in California as a cotton defoliant. Its acute oral  ${\rm LD}_{50}$  (rat) is 200 mg/kg, while its dermal  ${\rm LD}_{50}$  is greater than 1000 mg/kg. Label recommendations are for 1-1/3 to 2 pints (1 to 1-1/2 lbs. actual) per acre for complete defoliation; and 1 to 1-1/2 pints (3/4 to 1-1/8 lbs. actual) per acre for bottom defoliation; 3 pints (2-1/4 lbs. actual) per acre for complete defoliation of rank cotton. Applications should not be made within 7 days of harvest.

Folex (Tributyl phosphorotrithioite) is also registered for use as a cotton defoliant. Technical Folex has an acute oral LD $_{50}$  of 1653 mg/kg. The dermal LD $_{50}$  is 400 mg/kg. The label recommends using 1/2 pint (3/8 lbs. actual) per acre for pre-conditioning; 1-1/3 pints (1 lbs. actual) per acre for bottom defoliation; and 1-1/2 to 2 pints (7/8 to 1-1/2 lbs. actual) per acre for total defiolation. Both DEF and Folex cause leaves to drop in green condition.

#### APPLICATION

As part of a study of potential safety hazards, an analysis was made of the rate of decay of DEF and Folex applied as a cotton defoliant. During the same season, residue decay of DEF on foliage as well as release of residues into the air of several fields in nearby Tulare County. As these pesticides decay, butyl mercaptans present in their formulations are released to the air and are considered by most persons to have a foul odor. When this odor is intense in nearby residential areas or schools, it tends to result in discomfort of varying numbers of persons who experience nausea, vomiting, headaches and dizziness.

The defoliants studied had been applied to five fields in Kern County, California.

The five fields were treated as follows:

<u>Field 1</u>: (70 acres)

September 23, 1975

1.5 pt. DEF (1-1/8 lbs. actual)

1.5 pt. Bolls Eye

.83 qt. Nutra Wet

12 gal. water

Field 2: (100 acres)

September 24, 1975 1 qt. Folex (1-1/2 lbs. actual) 1.5 qt. Bolls Eye 10 gal. water Field 3: (96 acres)

September 30, 1975

1.5 pt. DEF (1-1/8 lbs. actual)

0.5 pt. Paraquat

0.1 qt. Kerntox B-99 (spreader)

10 gal. water

Field 5: (60 acres)

October 8, 1975

3 pt. DEF (2-1/4 lbs. actual)

0.5 pt. Accelerate (endothall)

0.1 qt. spreader

Field 5 was treated by a ground rig, while the other 4 fields were treated aerially.

## SAMPLING

Sampling was done by taking triplicate samples of 100 leaf punches, 2.5 cm in diameter, on a diagonal across the field. Duplicate samples were taken for surface and penetrated analysis, while the third was taken for total residue. Results for the first two were averaged before being plotted on the graphs.

## ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

#### Extraction

The procedure used for the extraction of dislodgeable, penetrated, and total residues from leaf punches was originally published by Gunther in "The Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology", 9, 243-249, 1973. It has been documented several times in detail, with modifications that were made to accommodate the various pesticides and their metabolites. The sample container and leaf punches are weighed and the gross weight recorded.

#### Total Residues

- 1. The leaf punches are transferred to a blending jar. The empty sample container is again weighed and the net weight of the punches recorded.
- 2. Approximately 50 gm of sodium sulfate and 100 ml of ethyl acetate are added.
- 3. The sample is blended at high speed for 3 minutes, keeping the blender cup cool by immersing it in a container of cool water. The blender cup is removed and the sample allowed to settle.
- 4. An aliquot is decanted into a Teflon-capped bottle and may be stored in the freezer prior to clean up and analysis.

Field 4: (approx. 26 acres)

October 1, 1975

1 qt. Folex (1-1/2 lbs. actual)

0.5 qt. Paraquat

0.1 pt. K-90

10 gal. water

# Dislodgeable Residues

- 1. Fifty ml of water and approximately 4 drops of Sur-Ten solution (1:50) are added to the sample containers. The containers are capped and placed in a multi-purpose rotator and rotated at 30 cycles/min. for 60 min. The aqueous solution is decanted through a glass wool plug into a 500 ml separatory funnel.
- 2. The punches are rotated a second time, using 50 ml of water and 4 drops of Sur-Ten solution for 30 min. This is added to the first extraction.
- 3. The sample is then hand-shaken for approximately 10 seconds with 30 ml of water. The container is drained into the separatory funnel with the first two extractions.
- 4. The aqueous solution is extracted three times with 50 ml of ethyl acetate. The solvent is filtered through sodium sulfate into a glass stoppered mixing cylinder and the volume is recorded. The solvent is mixed in the cylinder. An aliquot is decanted into a Telfon-capped bottle and stored in the freezer prior to cleanup and analysis.

# Penetrated Residues

- 1. After the last water rinse is drained for the dislodgeable residue, the punches are transferred to a blender jar. The empty sample container is weighed and the net weight of the punches recorded.
- Approximately 50 gm of sodium sulfate and 100 ml of ethyl acetate are added.
- 3. The sample is blended and handled the same as the total residue sample.

# Gas Chromatography

Instrument: Varian 2700, FPD Detector

Column: 3' x 2 mm I.D. of 3% OV-101 on 100/120 mesh Gas Chrom Q operated at  $200\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ 

Carrier Gas: N<sub>2</sub> @ 30 cc/min Retention Time: 3 minutes

DEF and Folex residues are examined in the same manner since DEF is the oxidized analog of Folex.

### RESULTS

Weather observations for the study period can be found in Table 1. The average minimum and maximum temperatures were 64.2°F and 84.2°F respectively. The temperature varied greatly between the time when fields 1 and 5 were studied.

Results of the analysis can be seen in Tables 2-6 and the graphs that follow. As can be seen, degradation rates were similar for fields 1 through 4 where 1.5 to 2 pints per acre were applied aerially. Field 5 was treated by ground rig with 3 pints per acre and shows a slower more erratic rate of decline.

TABLE 1: DAILY TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION

Weather observations were taken in Bakersfield, Kern County, California

DATE			URE (°F)	-		FIELD
(1975)		MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	PRECIPITATION	(IN.)	NUMBERS
9-23		70	97			1 <b>1</b> <b>A</b> C
9-24		70	100			2
9-25		69	103		٠	
9-26		69	100		٠	
9-27		71	97			
9-28		66	91			
9-29		66	91			2
9-30		67	94			3
10-1		68	95			4
10-2	•	67	93			I J
10-3		67	93			3 4
10-4		69	93	•		:
10-5		62	98		٠.	
10-6		64	92	0.22		
. 10-7		53	68			
10-8		50	75			5
10-9		54	79			
10-10		57	79	0.09		
10-11		61	71	0.07		5
	Average	64,2	84.2			

TABLE 2: DEF RESIDUES IN FIELD 1 FOLLOWING APPLICATION TO COTTON

	HOURS		DEF RESIDUES (PPM)	
SAMPLE	POST-APPLICATION	SURFACE	PENETRATED	TOTAL
mp _1	1	81.2	219	
TR-1	1	60.2	182	
TR-2	1	00.2	102	161
TR-3	1		105	161
TR-4	6	57.5	105	
TR-5	6	70.1	95.5	
TR-6	6			145
TR-7	21	28.0	132	
TR-8	21	19.5	91.1	
TR-9	21			100
TR-10	29	12.3	85.4	•
TR-11	29	8.74	60.9	•
TR-12	29			79.6
TR-13	46	4.63	49.6	
TR-14	46	4.63	37.8	
TR-15	46			48.5
TR-16	69	4.14	42.7	,,,,,
TR-17	. 69	4.83	38.6	
TR-18	69	4:05		47.3
TR-19	145	1.96	16.2	
TR-20	145			
		1.58	13.4	17. 6
TR-21	145		40.4	16.6
TR-22	216	1.00	10.4	
TR-23	216	0.24	10.8	
TR-24	216	•	•	14.9

TABLE 3: FOLEX RESIDUES IN FIELD 2 FOLLOWING APPLICATION TO COTTON

HOURS		F	FOLEX RESIDUES (PPM)			
SAMPLE	POST-APPLICATION	SURFACE	PENETRATED	TOTAL		
	<del></del>		· .			
W-1	. 1	62.0	119			
W-2	1	66.5	188			
₩-3	1			173		
W-4	24	57.1	121			
₩-5	24	43.7	91.6			
W-6	24		•	142		
₩-7	46	19.4	68.8	•		
₩-8	46	16.0	66.5			
W-9	. 46			58.2		
W-10	125	2.03	13.6	_		
W-11	125	2.02	14.3			
W-12	125		•	11.0		

TABLE 4: DEF RESIDUES IN FIELD 3 FOLLOWING APPLICATION TO COTTON

HOURS		DEF RESIDUES (PPM)			
SAMPLE	POST-APPLICATION	SURFACE	PENETRATED	TOTAL	
	_				
PR-1	1	105	116		
PR-2	1	115	102		
PR-3	1			214	
PR-4	6	64.9	118		
PR-5	6	54.7	135		
PR-6	6			142	
PR-7	26	36.0	109		
PR-8	26	40.0	121		
PR-9	26			126	
PR-10	53	12.5	86.0	-	
PR-11	53	18.3	115		
PR-12	53			85,5	
PR-13	73	1.3	22.7		
PR-14	73	2.8	29.5	23.8	
PR-15	73				

TABLE 5: FOLEX RESIDUES IN FIELD 4 FOLLOWING APPLICATION TO COTTON

HOURS		FOLEX RESIDUES (PPM)			
SAMPLE	POST-APPLICATION	SURFACE	PENETRATED	TOTAL	
AR-1	1	98.5	102.6		
AR-2	1	136.9	125.6		
AR-3	1		•	189	
AR -4	6	46.0	122		
AR <b>-</b> 5	6	49.4	148		
AR-6	6			168	
AR-7	25	23.2	84.0		
AR-8	25	24.6	122		
AR-9	25			175	
AR-10	48	3.1	41.5		
AR-11	48	5.9	65.9		
AR-12	48		•	64.2	

TABLE 6: DEF RESIDUES IN FIELD 5 FOLLOWING APPLICATION TO COTTON

HOURS		DEF RESIDUES (PPM)			
SAMPLE	POST-APPLICATION	SURFACE	PENETRATED	TOTAL	
1	Before Application	_	<b>-</b>		
2	Before Application	_	<u> </u>	•	
3	Before Application			<1.0	
	before Apprication	186	262	. (1.0	
4 5	1	130	168		
6	1	130	106	286	
	1	88.6	164	200	
10	6				
11	6	86.0	147	003	
12	6	105	10/	283	
13	22	105	184		
14	22	146	162		
15	22		•	261	
16	28	64.5	172		
17	28	57.4	167		
18	28			212	
Soil	28		:	34.5	
19	45	50.5	187		
20	45	54.6	171		
21	45		i .	178	
22	5 <b>1</b>	38.1	167		
23	5 <u>1</u>	33.1	155		
24	51			185	
25	69	19.5	162	<del>.</del>	
26	69	16.7	169	•	
27	69	,		155	

















